UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Alonzo Lara-Baez	Case Number:	11-6336M	
and was rep			as held on July 12, 2011. Defendant was presen ne defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a p	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
×	The defendant is not a citizen of	of the United States or lawfully adr	nitted for permanent residence.	
	The defendant, at the time of the	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of the defendant using numerous aliases.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maxi	mum of y	ears imprisonment.	
The at the time	e Court incorporates by reference the of the hearing in this matter, except	e material findings of the Pretrial Se as noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2. The	 There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in 			
appeal. The of the Unite	e defendant shall be afforded a reaso d States or on request of an attorney o the United States Marshal for the	onable opportunity for private consi of for the Government, the person in	erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour n charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility t deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.				
IT IS Services su	S FURTHER ORDERED that if a releast sufficiently in advance of the hearing the potential third party custodian.	ease to a third party is to be consid before the District Court to allow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATED this 13 th day of July, 2011.				

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge